UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,204	08/05/2003	Kim Marie Clark	WOOK102	6832
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 877			CHANG, VICTOR S	
BOISE, ID 83701-0877			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/634,204	CLARK, KIM MARIE
Examiner initiated interview Califficary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor S. Chang	1794
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Victor S. Chang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen Nipper</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 June 2008</u>	Time: <u>11:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Victor S Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's arguments and remarks filed 4/8/2008 have been carefully considered. Upon a careful review of Office actions mailed 1/28/2008 and 10/15/2007, the case appears to be allowable pending cancellation of previously withdrawn method claims. Applicant agrees to the cancellation of method claims 11-19, and incicated reserving the rights to file a separate application for the cancelled claims.